Participation in Contact or Collision Sports in Athletes With Epilepsy, Genetic Risk Factors, Structural Brain Lesions, or History of Craniotomy
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Despite a plethora of guidelines for return to play following mild head injury, a discussion of when and if an athlete should be allowed to participate in contact or collision sports if he or she sustains a structural brain lesion or after a head injury requiring craniotomy is lacking. The structural lesions discussed include arachnoid cyst, Chiari malformation Type I, cavum septum pellucidum, and the presence of ventriculoperitoneal shunts. Issues unique to this population with respect to the possibility of increased risk of head injury are addressed. The population of athletes with epilepsy and certain genetic risk factors is also discussed. Finally, the ability of athletes to participate in contact or collision sports after undergoing craniotomies for traumatic or congenital abnormalities is evaluated. Several known instances of athletes returning to contact sports following craniotomy are also reviewed.

Approximately 20% of the 1.54 million head injuries that are estimated to occur in the US each year are sports related.\[71\]

Advances in diagnosis and management over the past decade have resulted in a plethora of guidelines for return to play after mild head injury. Although these systems address in detail the treatment of an athlete after concussion, discussion of when and if an athlete should be allowed to participate in contact or collision sports following discovery of a structural brain lesion or after a head injury requiring craniotomy is lacking.

In this article we address participation issues in athletes with structural brain lesions or with a history of craniotomy. The structural lesions we discuss include arachnoid cyst, CM-I, cavum septum pellucidum, and the presence of a VP shunt. Issues unique to this population with respect to the possibility of increased risk of head injury are addressed. The athletic population with epilepsy and certain genetic risk factors is also discussed. Finally, the ability of athletes to participate in contact or collision sports after undergoing craniotomies for traumatic or congenital abnormalities is evaluated. There are several known instances of athletes returning to contact sports postcraniotomy, and these cases are reviewed.

Arachnoid cysts result from developmental splitting of the arachnoid membrane\[73\] and are often incidentally diagnosed in childhood. Found more commonly in male patients younger than 20 years of age, they are present in 1% of the general population. Although they are considered to be benign developmental anomalies, and asymptomatic athletes who harbor them have ordinarily been allowed to continue to participate in contact sports, arachnoid cysts have been associated with a triad of complications, including midline shift, SDH, and intracystic hemorrhage.\[25,73\] The rare but well-known propensity for arachnoid cysts to hemorrhage, either spontaneously or as a result of trauma, is thought to occur in approximately 0.1% of people with this entity.

The relationship between arachnoid cysts and SDHs was first noted in 1971.\[66\] Since that time, an association between the presence of an arachnoid cyst and the later development of an SDH has been identified in several contact and collision sports, including soccer (caused by "heading" the ball),\[41,62,78\] football,\[81\] and basketball.\[42\] This complication has also been seen in noncontact sports such as race walking.\[15\]

Several theories exist to explain why arachnoid cysts are linked to a higher risk of hemorrhage. Bleeding is thought to originate from fragile vessels within the cyst wall or leptomeninges, or from bridging veins.\[58\] Because the cysts are less compliant than normal brain tissue, a reduction in buffering of adjacent tissue results in an increased likelihood of tearing of associated bridging vessels. It is also possible that the different composition of arachnoid cyst fluid compared with normal CSF results in magnification and a greater transfer of pressure generated by head impacts, increasing the likelihood of rupture of the bridging veins or of the vessels within the cyst wall.\[25\]

An arachnoid cyst may present an increased risk, and although it is not an absolute contraindication to participation in contact sports, patients and their family members should be carefully counseled that the risk of a traumatically induced hemorrhage is present but uncertain.

The CM-I is a congenital disorder with an unknown incidence characterized by the caudal herniation of cerebellar tonsils.
through the foramen magnum. This is an increasingly recognized finding on MR imaging, with a mean age at onset of symptoms and diagnosis of 25 ± 15 years, which overlaps with the most common years of participation in contact and/or collision sports. The variable clinical symptoms result from brainstem compression by the herniating tonsils and from disorders of CSF circulation.[52] Classic symptoms are severe throbbing headache and neck pain, starting shortly after coughing, sneezing, straining, changing posture, or physical exertion. Because symptoms may be provoked by increased intracranial pressure,[49] it has been questioned whether the presence of a CM-I may alter the normal CSF capacity for buffering the brain in instances of high-velocity impacts.[6] Although this is of no apparent consequence during normal activities, this abnormality may prevent the normal buoyancy of the CSF from safely protecting the brain from the strong forces that can be generated during impact in contact sports.

Numerous football players at the high school and college levels who sustained concussions were later found to have CM-IIs as their only abnormality on radiographic evaluations.[5] Whether these findings are incidental or the CM-I was a contributing factor to their injury is a subject of debate. Also of concern is the rare fatality associated with the condition; several cases of sudden cardiorespiratory arrest in children with no prior neurological abnormalities have been reported.[50,77] Cardiac arrest has also been described following a brisk head movement in an adult with a CM-I,[1] as have been deaths following minor head trauma in two adults (it is likely that these fatalities were the result of respiratory arrest).[82] This could be the result of medullary compression from the cerebellar tonsillar herniation, which may have depressed the function of the respiratory center, producing hypoxia.[83] "Drop attacks," one of the features of basilar migraine, are also one of the uncommon manifestations of CM-I, having been reported in 2 to 3% of patients in recent series.[27,59,74] These attacks also seem to be related to compression of the medulla caused by temporarily increased tonsillar herniation.

At present, a CM-I per se seems to be more of a relative than an absolute contraindication to further participation in contact sports in asymptomatic patients. In those in whom this abnormality was discovered during a diagnostic evaluation for concussion, we have generally reacted conservatively and recommended against a return to contact sports. Refraining from contact sports has been recommended in patients who harbor CM-I with associated syringomyelia, obliteration of the subarachnoid space, or indentation of the anterior medulla.[11] Additionally, the presence of a CM-I that is symptomatic should contra indicate participation in contact or collision sports.

The septum pellucidum consists of two leaves of glial tissue that are usually fused or contiguous in adults. If they remain separated, then the anterior closed space between them is known as a cavum septum pellucidum. The actual incidence of this entity in the general population at any time after the neonatal period has a wide reported incidence range (3–60%).[22,51,69]

Although its presence is a common and usually incidental finding on neuroimaging or neuropathological studies, an association of the cavum septum pellucidum with boxers was first noted incidentally in 1962.[72] The condition has been repeatedly associated with chronic TBI in boxing, on the basis of neuroimaging or postmortem studies. It is likely that a cavum septum pellucidum in boxers is acquired rather than being congenital and results from rotational injuries that lead to tearing and separation of the septum pellucidum.[51] It has also been implicated in other types of brain trauma, such as those sustained in fatal road traffic accidents.[61]

Importantly, the cavum septum pellucidum abnormality discussed in neuropathological studies as pointing to dementia pugilistica is fenestrated as well as being associated with fornical abnormalities. A cavum septum pellucidum with intact walls is not a diagnostic finding of this condition.[51] Additionally, there is no evidence that this entity necessarily correlates with neuropsychological or clinical abnormalities. The presence of an isolated cavum septum pellucidum is unlikely to be of clinical importance and should not preclude an athlete from participation in contact or collision sports. It has been reported that the morphological features of this entity may vary between examinations.[84] The development or serial enlargement of a cavum septum pellucidum could be evidence of the early development of chronic brain damage or dementia pugilistica, particularly if associated with other evidence of neurological deficit.

A significant improvement in the prognosis for children in whom shunts have been placed to treat hydrocephalus has been made during the past 20 years, and a considerable percentage of this population attains high levels of neurological functioning and is able to participate in organized sports.[9,10,16] The estimated prevalence of VP shunts is 125,000 in the US.[8] The medical literature contains few articles that specifically address the issue of sports-related shunt complications.[7] Additionally, a recent review of the legal literature was performed and failed to produce any cases of sports-related shunt complications.

There are several reasons why this population is thought to be at a higher risk for neurological sequelae during participation in
sports. Some of these patients have persistent ventriculomegaly despite shunt placement. These athletes may be at risk for cortical collapse over their enlarged ventricles, with secondary tearing of bridging veins and development of SDHs.[7] Patients who have had long-standing hydrocephalus sometimes have a thinner cranium. This could increase the risk to the participant for brain injury from impacts to the head. The physiological reserve of the central nervous system to respond to injury may be significantly reduced in this population due to the original insult that caused the hydrocephalus. The CSF acts in part as a shock absorber for the brain. Patients who have undergone shunt placement for hydrocephalus have a change in the dynamics of the CSF flow that could have a negative impact on this buffering effect.

A survey of the Joint Section on Pediatric Neurosurgery of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons was recently published.[7] This survey revealed a very low incidence of sports-related problems with VP shunts; the incidence of sports-related shunt complications was significantly less than 1%. None of these instances were reported to have caused a neurological morbidity or a fatality. The most commonly described issues were shunt fractures and shunt dysfunction occurring close in time to participation in an active sport. The catheters of the currently used systems can become calcified along their tracks over time and may become adherent to adjacent tissue. This may in crease the risk of fracture or disconnection of the catheter during participation in sports. Dysfunction of the shunt caused by a sports-related fall directly onto the shunt valve has been reported. The sporting events most frequently implicated by providers were wrestling and soccer. Three providers specifically indicated that the observed adverse event could be attributed to supervised wrestling. All such events involved catheter disconnections or fractures. Accumulation of a clot or other subdural fluid collection was reported by four providers. Three of these involved subacute subdural fluid accumulations in patients with enlarged ventricles, and one acute clot was reported that occurred in an athlete with normal-sized ventricles who directly headed a fast-traveling soccer ball. Football, turning cartwheels, rapid somersaulting, and sledding or tobogganing were also linked to adverse effects. Overall, this report established that the incidence of observed problems attributable to sport participation in shunt-treated children seems very low.

Currently, there are no guidelines for allowable activities or contact sport restrictions in this population. The majority (89%) of surveyed neurosurgeons do not restrict participation in noncontact sports, and one third of responding neurosurgeons do not restrict participation in contact sports. An other third of responding neurosurgeons prohibit or strongly advise against participation in all contact sports. Football was the most commonly prohibited sport, yet data from this survey did not implicate football-related problems in children treated with shunts. This could be the result of a low incidence of football participation in these children or of improved protective equipment. Boxing and wrestling were also specifically prohibited.

Epilepsy affects approximately 2% of the population,[37] and the attitudes toward participation of this population in contact sports have changed over the years, from avoidance to encouragement. Several issues are unique to individuals with epilepsy. It is conceivable that exercise could exacerbate seizures either directly, through hyperventilation, or indirectly, by alteration of the levels of anticonvulsant drugs. Trauma from contact or collision sports resulting in recurrent minor head injuries could worsen epilepsy. These risks are theoretical for the most part, and very few case reports support these notions. It has become increasingly clear that a more reasoned approach is to individualize the decision for each sport and each patient based on a risk–benefit analysis, which leads to few restrictions overall.

Although it is possible that the occurrence of a seizure during certain athletic events could cause a substantial in crease in risk to the participant, this pertains more to sports involving heights, such as gymnastics or horseback riding.[37] Some types of seizures could leave a patient vulnerable from lack of attention to impending injury, as in being blind-sided during football.[30] Therefore, when considering if an athlete with epilepsy should participate in contact or collision sports, one consideration is the type of seizure disorder the athlete suffers from. Generalized tonic–clonic (grand mal) seizures may result in unprotected falling. This is especially true with tonic seizures that result in a sudden loss of muscle tone. Seizures characterized by unprotected falling put patients continually at risk of injury from striking the playing surface or another object. Athletes suffering absence seizures consisting of brief (typically 3–10 seconds), motionless, nondistractible staring usually maintain their balance. This condition places the participant at an increased potential risk of injury from being unable to protect him- or herself during contact sports. Simple partial seizures often do not affect consciousness. Therefore, this type of seizure is unlikely to result in injury as a result of unanticipated falls or unprotected blows during participation. Complex partial seizures often do result in an alteration but not a loss of consciousness, and usually are 1 to 2 minutes in duration, followed by a brief period of confusion. Unlike players who suffer generalized seizures, athletes with complex partial seizures are often amnestic to the event, and it may go unnoticed until abnormal behavior is observed, such as wandering around the playing field. Although complex partial seizures are similar to absence seizures in that they may involve only staring, the duration is much longer, which theoretically increases the athletes’ exposure to injury from an inability to protect themselves.[30] Obviously, seizures that begin as partial
ones may generalize, with the same specific risks as for primary generalized seizures noted earlier.

One of the strongest arguments for disallowing participation in contact or collision sports is that the multiple minor head traumas associated with such participation could exacerbate the condition. There is only one report of seizures caused by blows to the head,[19] and no evidence that contact sports are harmful for most patients with epilepsy.[4] It is also theoretically possible that repeated or severe head injury sustained during contact sports could exacerbate seizures in a patient known to have epilepsy, an argument that initially led to complete prohibition of participation in sports for this group.[30] Although evidence does exist that head injury can cause epilepsy, this association only holds true for severe traumas.[2,3,45–47] There is no consistent report of increased risk of subsequent epilepsy from a typical mild head injury in the general population. Typical sports-related head injuries are so mild that they are extremely unlikely to be associated with epilepsy.

Numerous factors have been discussed that could cause this increase in seizure frequency and/or severity, including repeated head trauma, aerobic exercise, hyperventilation, physical and psychological stress, and changes in antiepileptic drug metabolism.[30] Fear that increasing aerobic exercise may exacerbate seizures was magnified by several case reports of patients whose seizures were "triggered" by exercise.[53,55,57,68] Because hyperventilation has been shown to trigger absence seizures when performed at rest during a routine electroencephalography study, it was extrapolated that seizures could also be triggered during exercise-induced hyperventilation. Differences in the physiology of resting compared with exercise-induced hyperventilation make this unlikely. Increased metabolic demand during exercise is the cause of increased respiratory effort, a compensatory response to avoid hypercapnia. This is in contrast to the hypocapnia induced by resting hyperventilation that results in cerebral vasoconstriction. This promotes alkalosis and can make absence-related abnormalities found on electroencephalography studies more pronounced. Because the increased respiratory effort during exercise is a response to acidosis, it has the opposite effect of causing the relative suppression of abnormalities seen on electroencephalography. In fact, exercise-induced hyperventilation may even produce a refractory period that can delay the activating effects of resting hyperventilation.[29,34] The current thinking is that, although exercise does initiate exacerbation of seizures in rare cases, on average it reduces seizure frequency during prospective formal evaluation.[28,54] In fact, interictal epileptiform activities remained unchanged or decreased during or immediately after exercise in the majority of patients studied, even in some patients with exercise-associated seizures.[29,34,55] Additionally, because of the general health and psychological benefits of aerobic exercise, it is recommended for most patients, although it must be recognized that this type of exercise will trigger seizures in some.

An additional consideration in this population is the effect of exercise on drug metabolism. It is well known that exercise induces hepatic microsomal enzymes. This can result in an increase in medication clearance, requiring a dose adjustment to maintain efficacy.[26,31] In addition, the release of fatty acids may compete for protein binding sites and displace anticonvulsant medications, leading to a higher free fraction of highly protein-bound drugs.[30]

Current opinion is that participation in contact or collision sports benefits individuals with epilepsy in many ways, including improvements in seizure control, mood, and quality of life. Contact sports such as football, hockey, and soccer have not been shown to induce seizures, and athletes with epilepsy should not be prohibited from participation.[37] There is little evidence to justify summarily excluding patients with epilepsy from most sports, but some common sense rules apply.[13,30,33,80] Obviously, care must be taken in sports involving heights, such as gymnastics,[37] and athletes with epilepsy must be evaluated on an individual basis; however, no significant evidence exists to suggest that contact sports are harmful to these individuals.

With the completion of the human genome map, our understanding of the influence of molecular biology on an athlete's ability and susceptibility to injury is expanding. More than 900 genetic tests are available (Appendix); many could have applications in athletics. The best-known susceptibility gene for head injury is APOE*E4.

Apolipoprotein E is important for lipoprotein transport and cholesterol homeostasis by its promotion of the recognition and catabolism of APOE-containing lipoproteins (for example, β-very-low-density lipoprotein, very-low-density lipoprotein, intermediate-density lipoprotein, and high-density lipoprotein) by the low-density lipoprotein receptors, the E/α2M receptor LRP1, gp330/megalin, and the very-low-density lipoprotein receptor. It is synthesized predominantly by astrocytes and microglia and thus is highly expressed in the brain. The APOE gene, located on chromosome 19, occurs in three common allelic forms, APOE*E2, *E3, and *E4.[44] In several studies the APOE*E4 allele has been linked to poor outcomes following TBI.[18,23,32,39,43,48,70,75]

Attention was first focused on this gene when it was linked to late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer disease.[21,67] The neuropathological similarities between chronic TBI and Alzheimer disease led to the discovery of the possible link between
sports-related brain injury and \textit{APOE*E4}.\cite{22,24,64,76,79} Chronic TBI is a worrisome complication of contact or collision sports during which the participant sustains repetitive blows of variable force to the head. In 1997, a study performed in 30 boxers revealed that those with the \textit{APOE*E4} gene and high exposure to the sport were at an increased risk of neurological dysfunction compared with those without the gene. In this study it was also found that all of the boxers in whom severe neurological impairment developed possessed the \textit{APOE*E4} allele.\cite{39} The association between this gene and a higher risk of chronic TBI was strengthened by a second study performed in 1997 in a nonboxing population of patients who had suffered a neurotrauma.\cite{75}

The pathophysiological mechanism for this association may be that β-amyloid is deposited in the brain to a greater extent after head trauma in individuals with the \textit{E4} allele.\cite{35,56,65} The allele may also affect the efficiency of neuronal repair, which is suggested by the poorer outgrowth of neurites observed in cell cultures containing \textit{APOE*E4}\cite{60} after traumatic injury, which could lead to the accumulation of residual tissue damage after repeated episodes of trauma.\cite{40} The presence of \textit{APOE*E4}-related alterations in the neuronal cytoskeleton, increased susceptibility to reactive oxygen species in association with \textit{APOE*E4}, and altered intracerebral cholesterol trafficking are other mechanisms proposed to be the cause of increased susceptibility to chronic TBI in the athlete with the \textit{APOE*E4} gene.\cite{44}

The link between \textit{APOE*E4} and chronic TBI is not universally accepted. Most studies relating to mild TBI in sports have included a relatively small population of patients, and this has been a major criticism. In addition, investigators have relied on brief cognitive assessments or coarse measures of global functioning, thereby limiting their conclusions. In other prospective studies on the role of the \textit{APOE*E4} allele in mild to moderate brain injury in which a more detailed evaluation of neuropsychiatric outcome was performed, no association was found between the presence of the \textit{APOE*E4} allele and poor outcome across all measures.\cite{17}

The relationship between this gene and an increased risk of chronic TBI has major implications. Should all athletes in contact or collision sports be tested for \textit{APOE*E4} as a part of a preparticipation physical; and should those with positive results be banned from participation? The genetic profile of an athlete could be useful in determining if the participant is predisposed to a particular injury. If this information is known before participation, the athlete could be properly counseled concerning his or her risks, given special techniques and equipment to minimize risk, and offered alternative sporting activities. On the other hand, several social concerns exist with regard to DNA-based testing. When testing is performed, who should be allowed to have access to the acquired information? Should sports regulatory agencies have access to information on an individual's \textit{APOE*E4} status before granting a boxing license? If an individual is discovered to carry a less than desirable gene for a specific sport, how would it affect his or her ability to participate psychologically, and will this information create a new form of discrimination? Should parents, coaches, and even sports agents be allowed to request genetic testing on minors and/or clients? If genes and gene therapies are allowed to be patented, will this limit accessibility and create unfair advantages between athletes?

The time may come when biotechnology firms buy the rights to sports stars' genetic codes. If the prescreening of fetuses occurs, how can selective terminations of pregnancies be prevented if less-than-ideal genetic markers are discovered by parents and/or governments seeking high-performance athletes? Will managers and agents be allowed to see an athlete's genetic profile before they sign an athlete to a contract or agree to train him or her? These profiles could potentially be used to weed out athletes who are predisposed to injury or who have a limited ability to perform. The Americans with Disabilities Act, which was signed into law in 1990, made it illegal to discriminate against disabled workers. The Federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has ruled that this act also protects people from discrimination on the basis of their genetic profile.

Because the connection between the \textit{APOE*E4} gene and sports-related head injury is still not decided, discussion of these ethical and legal issues seems somewhat premature. Nevertheless, although these quandaries seem distant, the current rate of growth in the field of genetics demands that these ethical and legal issues be evaluated now. To address these concerns, the National Center for Human Genome Research, the National Institutes of Health, and the Human Genome Program of the Department of Energy have established the Joint Working Group on Ethical, Legal, and Social Issues associated with mapping and sequencing the human genome. This group is currently developing policy recommendations for the necessary protections that must be put in place as new genetic tests are developed.

The safety of allowing the return of an athlete to a contact or collision sport following craniotomy has long been debated in neurosurgical and sports medicine circles, and a conservative approach has generally been taken in the past. There have been no controlled studies or patient series on which to base recommendations. In general, there are three primary apprehensions relative to the inherent safety of the cranium to withstand impacts in the postoperative period. These consist of concerns about
the strength of the craniotomy flap to withstand direct pressure from blows to the head; the potential fragility of the tissue at
the operative site and neovascularity from the healing process; and the alteration of the normal CSF pathways, which will
potentially alter the normal "buoyancy" of the brain.

The healing of a craniotomy flap, that is, the integration of the island of bone that has been removed and then restored, occurs
circumferentially across the kerf line. This line represents the amount of bone removed by the craniotome. A commonly used
criterion in this population is that radiographically demonstrated partial or complete healing of the bone flap must be present as
a prerequisite before the athlete can return to play.[5] This commonly occurs within 1 year in nonsmokers. No standardized
studies are available in which the effectiveness of newer cranial reconstruction plates at rigid fixation has been evaluated.
Similarly, no standardized studies are available to determine the contribution of the recently introduced bone matrix products to
more rapid and secure healing of the craniotomy flap.

There are healing and fibrotic reactions that occur after any surgical procedure, and the brain, duramater, and over lying skull
and scalp also display a normal pattern of closure and regrowth at the site of excision and the procedure. Although fragility is
an inherent concern, it does not appear that there is any unusual or particular aspect to this area that would be prohibitive for
safe return to contact or collision sports.

The brain is suspended within the cranium by extensive CSF distribution throughout the subarachnoid space. This space can
be focally altered by surgical interventions that lead to scarring and possible restrictions of normal flow and buoyancy.
Significant intracranial hemorrhage or parenchymal injury can obliterate the normal CSF pathways by producing scarring.
Theoretically, this could affect the brain's buoyancy and result in a loss of cushioning effect, increasing the patient's vulnerability
to subsequent injury. Unfortunately, no studies exist regarding scarring of the CSF pathways and consequent decreased
buoyancy of the brain and increased susceptibility to concussive forces.

Professional Ice Hockey. A professional hockey player, who had sustained a parenchymal hemorrhage, underwent successful
surgery to remove a temporal arteriovenous malformation. He returned to play competitively for several years without sequelae.
In addition, fractures of the frontal sinus anterior or posterior wall are known to have occurred in both soccer and ice hockey
players who subsequently returned to participation in their respective sport.[63]

Professional Boxing. The return of athletes to the sport of professional boxing at the elite level after craniotomy has been
documented.[36,38] Marco Antonio Barrera, one of the best featherweight professional boxers in the world, began to complain
of headaches in 1995. In 1997, a neurosurgeon in Mexico City examined him and discovered a cavernous angioma, which was
repaired on August 29, 1997. Barrera participated in 16 matches after the surgery, until it became public just weeks before a
bout in San Antonio. After numerous examinations and imaging studies, the Texas Boxing Commission gave Barrera
permission to participate.

If an athlete is barred from competition in the US, he or she may choose to participate outside of this country. This occurred
recently after a boxer (Edwin Valero) was suspended for an abnormality discovered on MR images obtained after he suffered a
brain trauma. Venezuelan Boxing Federation medical records indicate that a hematoma was detected in Valero's head and was
removed using a drainage procedure. The athlete was then suspended by the New York State Athletic Commission and the
Association of Boxing Commissions from competing in the US.[20] After being cleared by doctors in Argentina, Valero resumed
his career in the ring outside of the US.

Amateur Soccer. A healthy 16-year-old girl presented with occipital headaches and numbness of the right face and body that
had lasted 4 days. Results of a neurological examination were normal. One month previously, a soccer ball had struck her with
significant force on the left side of the head. She did not lose consciousness at the time, but she experienced a period of
transient disorientation and did not interrupt her play. There was no apparent amnesia. A cranial computed tomography scan
revealed a left middle fossa extraaxial hyperdense lesion in the region of the sylvian fissure without a mass effect. In addition,
MR imaging revealed a 20-mm-thick chronic SDH in the left frontotemporal convexity in communication with the arachnoid cyst
and causing a mass effect. A left temporal craniotomy 3 cm in diameter revealed an arachnoid cyst in the sylvian fissure with a
solid clot and subacute subdural fluid, which was drained along with the large chronic SDH. After drainage of the hematoma
and fenestration of the cyst wall, underlying poorly developed frontal and temporal opercula consistent with a chronic arachnoid
cyst were observed. After a watertight dural closure was performed, the bone flap was resecured rigidly with titanium plates.
The patient did well postoperatively and has remained asymptomatic. A follow-up computed tomography scan obtained 1
month postsurgery demonstrated complete resolution of the chronic SDH and persistent hypodensity in the region of the cyst.
Neuroimaging studies obtained the following year demonstrated healing of the kerf lines of the craniotomy, and the athlete was allowed to return to participation and has done so without incident.\[62\]

**Professional Football.** A 20-year-old man who played National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I football began to have headaches and feelings of depersonalization. Progression of these symptoms ultimately led to neuroimaging studies, which demonstrated a large right fronto temporal arachnoid cyst. This cyst was successfully removed, and fenestration to the subarachnoid space was performed as well. The following year, 9 months after his craniotomy, he returned to the team for his senior year, in which time he gained 1100 yards and scored 14 touchdowns. He had a brief career in the National Football League, which included scoring two touchdowns. Although he sustained a cerebral concussion at the professional level, it was believed by the athlete—as well as being supported by the results of medical evaluation—that he successfully reentered the sport without direct consequences resulting from his craniotomy.

As recommended in current return-to-play guidelines, athletes who remain symptomatic, with or without provocative testing, should not be allowed to participate until all of these symptoms have completely resolved.\[14\] Injuries that result in permanent central neurological sequelae should contraindicate a return to contact or collision sports. It has also been suggested that symptomatic neurological or pain-producing abnormalities around the foramen magnum should disallow return to play.\[12\] Injuries that result in damage to the underlying brain parenchyma and spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage from any cause should contraindicate return.

The advisability of allowing athletes to reenter the competitive arena of contact sports after undergoing a craniotomy remains unclear. We commonly discourage return to contact sports in athletes who have suffered parenchymal injuries that required a craniotomy, based solely on experience. We have recently considered rigid cranial fixation not to be an absolute contraindication to later participation in contact sports in an athlete with normal results on neurological examination. We believe that the newer cranial reconstruction plates may confer a major advantage in terms of rigid fixation and the promotion of osteoblastic bridging of the kerf lines in the craniotomy. Similarly, although no standardized studies are available, we believe that the recently introduced bone matrix products may contribute to more rapid and secure healing of the craniotomy flap.

With advances in the diagnosis and management of sports-related head injuries, participation guidelines continue to evolve as our understanding of various conditions improves. Formerly, structural brain lesions were considered to be absolute contraindications to participation in contact or collision sports. These decisions were for the most part based on the opinions of the physicians involved, who wanted to err on the side of caution. Recent increases in appreciation of the health benefits of sports participation as well as the growing financial rewards for the professional athlete have led to a rethinking of these situations. With the large salary that is possible today for the professional athlete, it is difficult to defend ending a career by arguing that the athlete is at an increased risk of injury when no data exist to support this claim. Obviously, more research is essential to objectify with solid data the reasoning behind ending an athlete's career and possibly his or her only source of income. Thus, athletes with epilepsy, genetic risk factors, structural brain lesions, or a history of craniotomy are often now allowed, with appropriate observation and precautions, to participate in sports formerly denied them.
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